UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING DISPOSITION

٧.

Gaspar Arroyos-Serna			Arroyos-Serna	Case Number: _	CR-13-50026-001-PHX-JAT	
			CP 32.1 and 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(established: (Check one or both, as		peen submitted to the Court. I conclude that	
\boxtimes	the de	efendant	is a danger to the community and	requires the detention of the	defendant pending disposition in this case.	
×	the de	efendant	is a serious flight risk and requires	the detention of the defenda	ant pending disposition in this case.	
			PART I	FINDINGS OF FACT		
	(1)	18 U.S.C. §3142 (e)(2)(A): The defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is				
			a crime of violence as defined in	18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).		
			an offense for which the maximu	ım sentence is life imprisonn	nent or death.	
			an offense for which a maximum	term of imprisonment of ter	years or more is prescribed in	
			a felony that was committed after described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)	er the defendant had been cc (1)(A)-(C), or comparable st	onvicted of two or more prior federal offenses ate or local offenses.	
			any felony that involves a minor device (as those terms are defin to register under 18 U.S.C. §225	ed in section 921), or any ot	ossession or use of a firearm or destructive her dangerous weapon, or involves a failure	
	(2)	18 U.S pendir	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.			
	(3)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.				
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of condition will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.				
			Alt	ernative Findings		
	(1)	18 U.S	18 U.S.C. 3142(e)(3): There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense			
			for which a maximum term of im	prisonment of ten years or m	nore is prescribed in1	
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b.		
			under 18 U.S.C. 1581-1594, for prescribed.	which a maximum term of in	nprisonment of 20 years or more is	
			an offense involving a minor vict	im under section	2	
	(2)				ng 1 that no condition or combination of s required and the safety of the community.	

¹Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

 $^{{}^{2}} Insert \ as \ applicable \ 18 \ U.S.C. \ \S\$1201, \ 1591, 2241-42, \ 2244(a)(1), \ 2245, \ 2251, \ 2251A, \ 2252(a)(1), \ 2252(a)(2), \ 2252(a)(3, \ 2252(a)(4), \ 2260, \ 2421, \ 2422, \ 2423, \ or \ 2425.$

evidence as to danger that:	Alternative Findings				
(3) There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror). (4) The defendant has failed to prove by clear and convincing evidence that he does not pose a risk of flight or danger to the community. PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.) (1) I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that: The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.				
intimidate a prospective witness or juror). The defendant has failed to prove by clear and convincing evidence that he does not pose a risk of flight or danger to the community. PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.) I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that: The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.				
PART II – WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.) (1) I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincir evidence as to danger that: (2) I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that: The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).				
PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.) I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincir evidence as to danger that: I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that: The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of	The defendant has failed to prove by clear and convincing evidence that he does not pose a risk of flight or				
(Check one or both, as applicable.) I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincir evidence as to danger that: I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that: The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of	danger to the community.				
evidence as to danger that: Calculated to assure his/her future appearance. Calculated to a	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)				
The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of	I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:				
 □ The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona. □ The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. □ The defendant has a prior criminal history. □ There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. □ The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. □ The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of 					
 □ The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona. □ The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. □ The defendant has a prior criminal history. □ There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. □ The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. □ The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of 					
 □ The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona. □ The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. □ The defendant has a prior criminal history. □ There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. □ The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. □ The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of 					
 □ The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona. □ The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. □ The defendant has a prior criminal history. □ There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. □ The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. □ The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of 					
The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of					
 □ The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona. □ The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. □ The defendant has a prior criminal history. □ There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. □ The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. □ The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of 					
The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:				
calculated to assure his/her future appearance. The defendant has a prior criminal history. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.				
 There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of 					
The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement. The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of	The defendant has a prior criminal history.				
The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.				
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.				
The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of				
The defendant deep not dispute the information sentanted in the French Converse Report, except	fendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:				

³The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.



In addition:

The defendant submitted the issue of detention and is alleged to have violated conditions of supervised release.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 11th day of February, 2013.

Michelle H. Burns United States Magistrate Judge